法国骨科协会主席 吉尔 瓦尔希教授 骨创伤学会主席 亨利 顾旦教授 诚挚地欢迎中华医学会骨科学分会主席田伟教授 以及其他来自中国的骨科同仁们参加第90届法国骨科年会 这是我们有史以来首次迎接来自中国的同道 感谢所有合作协会及各分会对迎接中国同道所做出的努力

Le président du CNP-SOFCOT (dilles WALCH) et le président de l'Académie des Orthopedites et Traumatologues (Henry COUDANEs souhaitent la bienvenne au PY Wei TAN, Président du COA (chinese Orthopaedic Association) ains qu'à tous ses collègues chirurgiens orthopedistes de la République Populaire de Chine qui est pour la première fois nation invitee dans l'histoire de la SOFCOT pour ce 90° Congrès. Les Présidents du CNP-SOFCOT et de l'AOT remercinent les Sociétés Partenaires et Associées de la SOPCOT d'avoir facilité l'accueil de nos Collègues Chinois.

SOCIÉTÉ Française de Chirurgie Orthopédique et Traumatologique PARIS - PALAIS DES CONGRÈS 9-12 NOVEMBRE 2015



www.sofcot.fr





CSES - Chinese Shoulder & Elbow Society

• Members in 2014: 105

Congress meeting: Biennially

Cooperations & Communications:

ASES traveling fellowship, NSC, Annecy cour





中华医学会系列杂志

ISSN 2095-5790 CN 11-9338/R

中华肩肘外部电子杂志

ZHONGHUA JIANZHOU WAIKE DIANZI ZAZHI 2013年11月 第1卷 第1期(创刊号)

CHINESE JOURNAL OF SHOULDER AND ELBOW

(Electronic Edition)

Volume 1 Number 1 November 2013





CHINESE MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

Shoulder in China

Surgeons: trauma guys and sports guys

Patients: RCT, instability, trauma

Pathology: very few primary OA

Something unique: patients avoid surgeries

Chinese do NOT like surgery



Shoulder in China



Beijing Ji Shui Tan Hospital School of Medicine, Peking University

Shoulder Service

2001.7: shoulder fellowship with E Flatow

2002.2: small group with subspecialty

• 2004: shoulder service

2005: shoulder learning

Shoulder Service

everything about shoulder

NO tumors

• 2014: 650 surgeries

Shoulder Service

arthroscopic surgery: 400

shoulder trauma & OA: 150 - 200

elbow: 50 - 100





中华医学会第十七届骨科学术会议暨第十届COA国际学术大会

The 10th International Congress of Chinese Orthopaedic Association





2015年11月19-22日 中国·重庆 November 19-22, 2015 Chong Qing, China

Guest nation: France

- Philippe Collin
- Daniel Mole
- Jean-Francois Kempf
- Henry Coudane



• French shoulder society: welcome anytime !





Arthroscopic vs. Open Latarjet: Who's the Champion ?

姜春岩 朱以明

Chunyan Jiang MD Ph.D, Yiming Zhu MD Professor, Shoulder Service Seijing Ji Shui Tan Hospital School of Medicine, Peking University



Latarjet Procedure – "triple blocking"

- Dynamic reinforcement by the conjoined tendon
- Inferior capsular ligamentous complex reinforcement by the stump of the coracoacromial ligament
- Increase glenoid width



Arthroscopic Latarjet

Laurent Lafosse



Purpose

 To evaluate & compare the clinical and radiographic outcomes of anterior shoulder instability patients treated with arthroscopic Latarjet vs open Latarjet regarding graft position, screw orientation, graft resorption & clinical function

Patients and Methods

Study design: Prospective Comparative study

- Inclusion criteria
 - Traumatic anterior instability
 - Glenoid defect > 20% & < 40% on preop CT en face view
 - Treated by open or @ Latarjet
 - Patients' agreements
 - Complete radiological evaluation (CT-3d at preop, immediate postop & postop 1-y)

- Exclusion criteria
 - MDI
 - Concomitant RCT
 - Degenerative evidence in G-H
 - Neurological deficit
 - Incomplete radiological evaluation

Patients and Methods

- The open Latarjet group
 - initial 47 patients in the series

- The arthroscopic Latarjet group
 - the subsequent **51** patients

Surgical technique

- General + inter-scalene block
- Beach-chair position:
 - Open: Walch technique, axillary crease approach
 - @: Modified Lafosse technique
- Two 4.0mm AO cannulated screws
- Concomitant Bankart repair
- Standard rehab

Open Latarjet Procedure



Arthroscopic Latarjet

Laurent Lafosse

capsule debridement & massive ablation in subscap



Major steps

- Antero-inferior capsule prep
 Coracoid graft prep
 Nerve identification
 Glenoid prep
 - Subscap split
 - Graft fixation + capsule repair



Major steps

- Antero-inferior capsule prep
- Coracoid graft prep
- Nerve identification
- Subscap split
- Graft fixation + capsule repair



Antero-inferior Capsule Debridement



Antero-inferior Capsule Release

北京积水潭医院 Beijing Jishuitan Hospital

Subscap split - with massive ablation in tendinous part





Subscap split - tendon-muscle junction





Subscap split - tendon-muscle junction



Bankart repair - make graft extra-articular



Postoperative rehabilitation

- Same for both groups
- Sling for 6 weeks
- Daily activities within FF-90 & ER-10 after 3 weeks
- Strengthening after 3 months
- No contact sport until postop 8-m with healing evidence

Clinical evaluation

Follow-up interviews

3-w, 6-w, 3-m, 6-m, 1-y postop & every year

ASES, Constant-Murley, Rowe scores

Radiographic evaluation

- Axial CT scan at: preop, immediate postop & 1-y postop
- Graft position
 - Superior-inferior: regarding equator
 - Medial-lateral: > 3mm
- Screw orientation
- Graft resorption

Graft position - superior-inferior





Graft position - medial-lateral



Screw orientation



Graft resorption

- Grade 0: The screw head is buried in the coracoid bone graft
- Grade I: Only the screw head is exposed outside the bone graft and the whole screw shaft is inside the bone
- Grade II: Part of the screw shaft is exposed outside the graft and there is still some bone left on the glenoid neck
- **Grade III:** Both of the screw head and shaft are totally exposed with all of the coracoid bone graft absorbed and no bone is left on the glenoid neck.

YM Zhu CY Jiang, Coracoid Bone Graft Absorption after Latarjet Procedure: An Underestimated Existence. A New Classification System and a Clinical Review with CT Evaluation, JSES, 2015

Results

- Jan 2010 to Aug 2012, 44/47 open Latarjet
 - Mean age: 31.2-y
 - Ave. numbers of dislocation preop: 26.9 (5-150)
- Feb 2013 to Aug 2014, 47/51 scope Late
 - Mean age: 32.0-y
 - Ave. numbers of dislocation precession 27.9 (2-400)

Results - ROM



Results - clinical evaluation



Result - clinical evaluation

• Graft healing rate: 97.7% (1/44) in open vs. 100% in @

No recurrence

• No apprehension

Nerve safety during Latarjet

JP Warner 2014: frequent nerve alert during open Latarjet





2014 Nee Latarjet p

Ruth A. Delane Kamen V. Vlas Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery

sevier.com/locate/ymse



anfaza, MD^b, 北京秋津香枝 D^{a,}* Beijing Jishuitan Hospital

Radiographic evaluation results

- Screw orientation
 - open group: 18.1±9.2°
 - scope group: 21.9±10.4°

No significant difference (p=0.174)



Graft absorption after Latarjet

- J Allain: no effect on function
- Di Giacomo: no effect on stability or pain or ROM
- X Cassagnaud: severe absorption cause pain
- JV Lunn: risk factor for recurrence
- Lafosse: 3/62 prominent absorption required screw removal



Radiographic evaluation results

Graft resorption

		Groups		
		Arthroscopy	Open	Sum
Resorption	0	8	2	10
	Ι	26	19	45
	П	13	17	30
	III	0	6	6
Total		47	44	92

<u>p</u>=0.016

Radiographic evaluation results

- Graft position
 - Superior-inferior: all below equator in open group, 3/47 above equator in @ group, p<0.001
 - Medial-lateral > 3mm: 2/44 in open, 1/47 in @, p=0.496
- Significant difference regarding S-I position



Graft flush with the glenoid





Arthroscopic vs Open Latarjet

- Very few comparative studies
- @ Latarjet: controversial



• Worth the effort ?



Arthroscopic vs Open Latarjet

- Clinical outcome
- Graft union
- Graft position: S-I
- Graft position: M-L
- Screw orientation
- Graft resorption
- Axillary N. safety
- Surgery time

oen Latarjet	Latarjet
	×
×	
	×

Conclusions

- Both open & @ Latarjet:
 - reliable clinical outcome
 - comparable graft position & screw orientation
- Arthroscopic Latarjet:
 - Pros: Better graft resorption, more accurate
 - Cons: Long surgery time & learning curve

